

## Technical Advisory Committee Meeting

Wednesday, June 17, 2026

7:00 p.m. EST

*This meeting will be virtual only and livestreamed via YouTube.*

### AGENDA

1. Call to Order Chair Boice

#### Action Items

2. Summary Notes of the March 18, 2026, Meeting Chair Boice

*Recommended Action: Approve Meeting Notes*

3. Summary Notes of the May 27, 2026, Meeting Chair Boice

*Recommended Action: Approve Meeting Notes*

4. FY2026-2031 Six Year Program Update: Dr. Nampoothiri  
Staff Recommendations

*Recommended Action: Recommend the Authority to  
Adopt the FY2026-2031 Six Year Program*

#### Discussion / Information Items

5. NVTA Update Ms. Backmon, CEO

6. Adjournment Chair Boice

#### **Next Meeting:**

Wednesday, July 15, 2026

7:00 p.m. EST

2600 Park Tower Drive, Suite 601

Vienna, VA 22180

## **TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

Wednesday, May 27, 2026

7:00 p.m. EDT

2600 Park Tower Drive, Suite 601

Vienna, VA 22180

*This meeting was held in person and virtually via Zoom and livestreamed via YouTube.*

### **MEETING SUMMARY**

#### **1. Call to Order/Welcome**

- ✓ The meeting was held in person and virtually via Zoom. Chair Boice called the meeting to order at 7:03 p.m.
- ✓ **Attendees:**
  - i. **Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Members:** Chair Randy Boice, Michelle Cavucci, Amy Morris, and Frank Spielberg attended in-person. Karen Campblin and Armand Ciccarelli attended virtually.
  - ii. **Northern Virginia Transportation Authority (NVTA) Staff:** Keith Jasper, Principal, Transportation Planning and Programming; Dr. Sree Nampoothiri, Senior Manager, Transportation Planning & Programming; Ashkan Gholamialam, Regional Transportation Modeler

#### **2. Summary Notes of March 18, 2026, Meeting**

- ✓ Chair Boice noted the absence of a quorum and therefore deferred the review of the summary notes and approval to the next meeting.

#### **3. FY2026-2031 Six Year Program Update: Evaluation Results**

- ✓ Dr. Nampoothiri started the presentation with the review of the project selection process and the evaluation criteria presented on March 18, 2026.
- ✓ Dr. Nampoothiri updated TAC members on the FY2026-2031 Six Year Program (SYP) public comments. He reviewed a summary of applications (i.e., 27 applications from eight jurisdictions) and their distribution among modes and jurisdictions. The increase in active transportation project applications received in this cycle compared to the previous cycles was highlighted. The results of the qualitative and quantitative evaluation and the materials (i.e., project evaluation results and description forms) were published on NVTA website and shared with the public to comment. The comment period ran from April 2, 2026, through May 17, 2026. Outreach activities were performed on social media platforms and in coordination with jurisdiction partners to encourage public participation. NVTA held a Public Hearing on May 14, 2026, before the official Authority meeting, where 20 individuals provided testimony both in person and virtually. After removing duplicate submissions, NVTA identified approximately 265 unique commenters and approximately 700 project-level comments. Public participation trends from

previous Six Year Program cycles showed the volume of public engagement during the current cycle was generally consistent with last cycle.

- ✓ Dr. Nampoothiri provided details on supporting and opposing comments on each project and reviewed project-level comment summaries, including two City of Falls Church projects which, received the highest number of comments, followed by several projects from Arlington County and one project from Prince William County. At the other end of the spectrum, several projects from Prince William County, one from the Town of Herndon, and another from Loudoun County received relatively few public comments. Most projects received more supportive than opposing comments, and all projects received at least some public feedback.
- ✓ Dr. Nampoothiri presented maps showing the geographic distribution of comments and noted comments generally originated from locations near the project areas, although some opposition comments came from outside the immediate project areas. This pattern has been observed in previous funding cycles and may reflect comments from individuals who work in or travel through the project area. Many of these comments expressed general opposition to roadway projects rather than concerns specific to a particular project.
- ✓ Dr. Nampoothiri emphasized public comment represents one of four factors considered during the project selection process and mentioned staff continue to review individual comments in detail to better understand the reasons for support and opposition and to determine how those comments should be considered when developing funding recommendations.
  - i. Mr. Spielberg noted the Finance Committee had recommended approximately \$775.8 million in available funding and asked how far down the Congestion Reduction Relative to Cost (CRR) rankings that funding level would cover. Dr. Nampoothiri responded that the NVTA finance team performed revenue forecasting for FY2030 and FY2031, separated the forecasts for Regional Revenues and Local Funds, and followed a multi-step process to determine the PayGo figure. Based solely on CRR rankings, funding would likely reach only the sixth or seventh-ranked project. However, funding recommendations are not based exclusively on CRR and depend on various factors.
- ✓ Dr. Nampoothiri noted that staff are preparing a public comment report, and all comments and supporting documentation will be published and shared with everyone. The public comment findings and related materials will be presented to the Authority at the June 11, 2026, meeting. He further noted the TAC will return to its regular meeting schedule on June 17, 2026, at which time staff will present the staff funding recommendations. Following TAC review and discussion, the committee may endorse the recommendations or suggest modifications before forwarding its recommendation to the Authority. Both the TAC and the Planning Coordination Advisory Committee (PCAC) will receive the same staff recommendations, which will subsequently be reviewed by the Planning and Programming Committee (PPC). The PPC will consider the staff, TAC, and PCAC recommendations before forwarding a final recommendation to the Authority for action at the July 9, 2026, meeting.

- i. Mr. Spielberg asked whether the Authority can partially fund projects rather than provide the full amount requested. Dr. Nampoothiri responded that partial funding is permitted and has been used in previous funding cycles. He explained that projects may receive funding for specific phases, such as preliminary engineering or right-of-way acquisition, while additional phases may be funded later.
- ii. Ms. Cavucci asked what factors are considered when determining whether a project should receive partial funding. Dr. Nampoothiri responded that one key factor is the amount of funding available. If remaining funding is insufficient to support all requested project phases, NVTA may fund only an initial phase, such as preliminary engineering, while deferring right-of-way acquisition or construction to a future funding cycle. Public comments also may influence partial funding decisions. In some cases, public feedback indicates that stakeholders have concerns regarding project scope, design, or potential impacts and would like additional opportunities to provide input. In such situations, NVTA may recommend funding only the design phase so that project sponsors can further evaluate alternatives, address public concerns, and incorporate community feedback before seeking funding for subsequent phases.
- iii. Ms. Morris asked whether the decline in public participation relative to some earlier cycles was a concern. Dr. Nampoothiri responded that participation levels have remained relatively stable in recent cycles and noted high participation in earlier cycles was associated with the launch of the first SYP, a larger number of project applications, increased public awareness, and major regional transportation initiatives occurring at that time.
- iv. Mr. Spielberg asked about the Van Buren Road project in Prince William County and whether it was the same project that had generated significant public discussion during a previous funding cycle. Dr. Nampoothiri confirmed that it is the same project and noted that NVTA ultimately funded only the design phase at that time. Chair Boice noted that some opposition to the project stems from concerns about potential visual and environmental impacts on a nearby age-restricted residential community, including the possible loss of existing trees. Dr. Nampoothiri explained the project is intended to address cut-through traffic and related safety, noise, and air quality concerns in one community, while opposition has largely centered on potential visual, environmental, and neighborhood impacts to an adjacent community.

#### **4. NVTA Update**

- ✓ Mr. Jasper provided an update on the upcoming June Authority meeting, noting that it is expected to be held virtually and may be abbreviated due to scheduling conflicts among Authority members. He explained that the proposed PayGo funding amount of approximately \$775.8 million must first be approved by the Authority before final funding recommendations can be advanced. As a result, staff

recommendations included in the TAC packet will likely be contingent upon Authority approval of the funding amount, although the final approved amount is expected to be known by the time the TAC meets.

- ✓ Mr. Jasper also provided an update regarding efforts to fill one of the TAC vacancies. He stated that staff interviewed several candidates and expect to present a recommendation to the Authority at the July meeting.
  - i. Chair Boice asked if this is a new candidate recruitment process. Mr. Jasper explained that this was the first appointment process conducted under recently revised legislation that clarified how committee members are appointed. The clarification established that the NVTA, as a body, selects its representatives rather than assigning seats to specific localities, resolving past confusion.
  - ii. Mr. Spielberg inquired about the candidate recruitment process. Mr. Jasper explained that candidates were identified through multiple channels, including the Regional Jurisdiction and Agency Coordinating Committee (RJACC) and TAC members, and professional associations such as American Planning Association (APA) and Women’s Transportation Seminar (WTS).
- ✓ Mr. Jasper noted that there may not be a TAC meeting in July depending on the outcome of the June meeting and subsequent Authority actions on SYP. If a new TAC member is appointed in July, participation would likely begin in September.
- ✓ The committee discussed quorum requirements for the June meeting. Members noted potential attendance conflicts and discussed the possibility of holding the meeting virtually to have a quorum.

## **5. Adjourn**

- ✓ The meeting was adjourned at 7:38 p.m.
- ✓ The next meeting is scheduled for June 17, 2026, at 7:00 p.m. potentially virtually.



# **FY2026-2031 Six Year Program Staff Recommendations**

**Sree Nampoothiri, Senior Manager, NVTA**

**Technical Advisory Committee**

**June 17, 2026**

---

# Topics

1. Project Selection Process
2. Previous Funding Programs
3. NVTa Staff Recommendations
4. Next Steps



---

# Project Selection Process

## Multiple Components

1. Eligibility
2. Quantitative Analyses
3. Qualitative Considerations
4. Public Comment



# Previous Funding Programs: Summary

<b>Funding Program</b>	<b>Amount Requested (\$)</b>	<b>Amount Approved (\$)</b>	<b>Approved/Requested (%)</b>
FY2014	339,693,000	188,993,000	56%
FY2015-2016	467,124,215	336,944,000	72%
FY2017	297,949,000	166,043,951	56%
FY2018-2023	2,470,139,861	1,285,273,281	52%
FY2020-2025	1,444,826,078	539,110,783	37%
FY2022-2027	1,229,166,553	626,290,870	51%
FY2024-2029	947,219,560	696,335,252	74%
<b>Total Funding To Date</b>	<b>6,248,898,707</b>	<b>3,142,655,885</b>	<b>50%</b>
		<b>Amount Recommended (\$)</b>	
FY2026-2031	1,254,658,760	775,793,006	62%



---

# NVTA Staff Recommendations

- **Recommending 21 of 27 candidate projects**
  - **18 of 27** projects recommended for the **full amount** of requested funding
  - **3 of 27** projects recommended for a **partial amount** of requested funding
  - Not recommending **6 of 27** candidate projects
- All **3 continuation project requests** are recommended for additional funding
- **2** recommended projects are **multi-jurisdictional projects** demonstrating regional collaboration
- All projects with a **CRRC\* of Rank 1 thru 19**, except 1, are fully or partially funded
- All projects with a **TransAction Rating Rank of 1 thru 15**, except 2, are fully or partially funded

\*CRRC – Congestion Reduction Relative to Cost



---

# NVTA Staff Recommendations

- **Demonstrate NVTA's Reliable and Robust Funding Stream**
  - **21 recommended projects** bring NVTA's regional transportation investments, spanning FY2014 through FY2031, to almost **\$4.5 billion** (\$250 million/year), covering **153 projects** (average \$30 million/project).
- **Range of Project Allocation**
  - Recommended Funding Amount Range: **\$1.5 million to \$200 million**
  - **Average** amount: **\$51 million**. Past cycles had an average of \$30 million.
- **Geographically and Modally Balanced**
  - Projects recommended for **all eight applicants**
  - Includes projects for **all modes**
    - Bike-ped: 9
    - Roadway: 5
    - Transit: 3
    - Technology: 2
    - Intersection/Interchange: 2



---

# NVTA Staff Recommendations

- **BRT and Multimodal Focus**

- Continued funding on BRT projects (FFX-141 and ALX-040) – bringing total NVTA **allocation on regional BRT projects to over \$1 Billion**
- Highest amount and percentage of **bike and pedestrian projects** (9 projects, 43% of total) and funding (\$165 million, 21% of total) ever in one funding cycle

- **Transportation Technology**

- **Top ranked projects** are the two technology projects (ARL-031 and ARL-035) demonstrating cost-effectiveness

- **Continued Corridor Focus**

- I-95/Route 1 (ARL-037, FFX-141, PWC-046)
- I-66/Route 29/Route 50: (ARL-028, ARL-032, ARL-036, CFC-013)
- Route 28 and Loudoun County Parkway (LDN-040)
- Glebe Road (ARL-028, ARL-029, ARL-033, ARL-034)



# NVTA Staff Recommendations

Application ID	Project Title	Total Project Cost	Requested NVTA Funds	TransAction Project Rating Rank (incl. HB 599)	CRRR Rank	Recommended Funds	Phases for which Funds Recommended
ARL-031	Next Generation Bus Rider Info	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 2,500,000	6	1	\$ 2,500,000	CN, Asset
ARL-035	Performance Parking Initiative Phases 2 and 3	\$ 4,587,747	\$ 4,587,747	12	2	\$ 4,587,747	CN, Asset
PWC-046	Van Buren Road North Extension: Route 234 to Cardinal Drive <sup>1</sup>	\$ 191,005,800	\$ 179,005,800	7	3	\$ 179,005,800	ROW, CN
PWC-048	Route 15 Railroad Overpass and Improvements Project	\$ 80,000,000	\$ 65,000,000	18	4	\$ 65,000,000	PE, ROW, CN
LDN-040	Route 50 North Collector Road - Tall Cedars Parkway to Route 28 <sup>2</sup>	\$ 400,716,000	\$ 200,000,000	2	5	\$ 200,000,000	ROW, CN
ARL-036	Custis Trail Widening and Modernization	\$ 29,900,000	\$ 2,400,000	23	6	\$ 2,400,000	PE
FFX-141	Richmond Highway Bus Rapid Transit - Phases I & II <sup>1</sup>	\$ 987,290,200	\$ 463,000,000	1	7	\$ 116,300,000	CN
PWC-050	Dale City Transit Priority Project	\$ 50,080,000	\$ 6,000,000	5	8	\$ 6,000,000	PE
ARL-029	South Glebe Road and West Glebe Road Intersection Improvements	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000	20	9	\$ 10,000,000	PE, ROW, CN
ARL-033	South Glebe Road and 7th Street South Intersection Improvements	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 3,000,000	22	10	\$ 3,000,000	PE, ROW
PWC-047	Old Bridge/Gordon Boulevard Intersection Improvements	\$ 94,456,481	\$ 56,000,000	14	11		
ALX-040	Duke Street Transitway Phase 2: Van Dorn Street and Duke Street Interchange Improvements <sup>1</sup>	\$ 102,555,000	\$ 15,000,000	3	12	\$ 15,000,000	ROW, CN
PWC-049	Route 234 Trail at Innovation Park	\$ 45,000,000	\$ 45,000,000	25	13	\$ 45,000,000	PE, ROW, CN
ARL-032	Arlington Boulevard Trail: North Side from North Granada Street to North Jackson Street	\$ 15,000,000	\$ 15,000,000	27	14	\$ 15,000,000	PE, ROW, CN
ARL-034	North Glebe Road at Quincy Street / Henderson Road Intersection Improvements	\$ 15,000,000	\$ 5,000,000	10	15	\$ 5,000,000	PE, ROW
ARL-028	North Glebe Rd at I-66 WB Off-Ramp Intersection Improvements	\$ 17,500,000	\$ 10,000,000	19	16	\$ 10,000,000	PE, ROW
CFC-013	Annandale Road Multimodal Improvements	\$ 30,000,000	\$ 30,000,000	11	17	\$ 30,000,000	PE, ROW, CN
ARL-037	Eads Street Multimodal Improvements: 15th Street South to 23rd Street South	\$ 18,300,000	\$ 2,000,000	4	18	\$ 2,000,000	PE
ARL-026	South George Mason Drive Multimodal Improvements: Columbia Pike to South Dinwiddie St	\$ 36,000,000	\$ 36,000,000	13	19	\$ 28,747,299	PE, ROW, Part CN
CFC-012	Haycock Road Shared Use Path	\$ 15,087,696	\$ 15,000,000	26	20		
ARL-024	Arlington Memorial Trail: Memorial Avenue to Columbia Pike	\$ 29,338,000	\$ 5,000,000	24	21		
MAN-004	Manassas VRE Line Rail-with-Trail: Downtown Manassas to Bull Run Trail (Phase 1) <sup>2</sup>	\$ 30,534,594	\$ 30,265,994	15	22	\$ 30,265,994	PE, ROW, CN
ARL-027	South George Mason Drive and South Four Mile Run Drive Intersection Safety Improvements	\$ 64,375,000	\$ 8,500,000	17	23		
LDN-041	Colonial Highway Pedestrian Safety Improvements Project	\$ 8,488,000	\$ 8,488,000	21	24	\$ 1,586,166	PE
HND-016	South Elden Street Corridor Improvements <sup>3</sup>	\$ 31,572,514	\$ 4,400,000	9	25	\$ 4,400,000	CN
ALX-039	Eisenhower Avenue and South Van Dorn Street Corridor Improvements	\$ 21,856,219	\$ 21,856,219	8	26		
ARL-030	Court House Metro Station Access Improvements	\$ 67,127,000	\$ 11,655,000	16	27		
		<b>\$ 2,408,270,251</b>	<b>\$ 1,254,658,760</b>			<b>\$ 775,793,006</b>	

<sup>1</sup> Continuation project (previously received NVTA regional revenue funds)

<sup>2</sup> Multi-jurisdictional project

<sup>3</sup> Town of Herndon reduced the request to \$4,400,000 from the original request of \$15,572,514

					Available PayGo	\$ 775,793,006	
	XX Partial Funding						
	PE: Design/Preliminary Engineering		ROW: Right of Way		CN: Construction		Asset: Asset Acquisition

---

# NVTA Staff Recommendations

- **Partial funding** allocation recommendations for **three** projects due to external funding, TransAction Rating, long term benefit, and public comments.
  - FFX-141 Richmond Highway BRT - \$116,300,000 for Construction
  - ARL-026 S George Mason Dr Multimodal Improvements - \$28,747,299
  - LDN-041 Colonial Highway Pedestrian Safety Improvements - \$1,586,166 for PE/Design
- **No Funding** allocation recommendation on **six** projects due to low CRRC and TransAction Ratings, long term benefit, external funding, and geographic balance.
  - PWC-047 Old Bridge Road/Gordon Blvd Intersection Improvements
  - CFC-012 Haycock Road Shared Use Path
  - ARL-024 Arlington Memorial Trail
  - ARL-027 S George Mason Dr & S Four Mile Run Dr Intersection Safety Improvements
  - ALX-039 Eisenhower Avenue & S Van Dorn St Corridor Improvements
  - ARL-030 Court House Metro Station Access Improvements



# NVTA Staff Recommendations

Summary By Jurisdiction						
Jurisdiction	Number of Applications	Number of Recommended projects	Percentage of Recommended Projects	Requested Funds	Recommended Funds	Percentage of Recommended Funds
Arlington County	13	10	48%	\$ 115,642,747	\$ 83,235,046	11%
Fairfax County	1	1	5%	\$ 463,000,000	\$ 116,300,000	15%
Loudoun County	2	2	10%	\$ 208,488,000	\$ 201,586,166	26%
Prince William County	5	4	19%	\$ 351,005,800	\$ 295,005,800	38%
City of Alexandria	2	1	5%	\$ 36,856,219	\$ 15,000,000	2%
City of Falls Church	2	1	5%	\$ 45,000,000	\$ 30,000,000	4%
City of Manassas	1	1	5%	\$ 30,265,994	\$ 30,265,994	4%
Town of Herndon	1	1	5%	\$ 4,400,000	\$ 4,400,000	1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>\$ 1,254,658,760</b>	<b>\$ 775,793,006</b>	<b>100%</b>

Summary By Mode						
Mode	Number of Applications	Number of Recommended projects	Percentage of Recommended Projects	Requested Funds	Recommended Funds	Percentage of Recommended Funds
Roadway	5	5	24%	\$ 451,405,800	\$ 451,405,800	58%
Intersection/ Interchange	4	2	10%	\$ 79,500,000	\$ 15,000,000	2%
Transit	4	3	14%	\$ 495,655,000	\$ 137,300,000	18%
Bike and Pedestrian	12	9	43%	\$ 221,010,213	\$ 164,999,459	21%
Technology	2	2	10%	\$ 7,087,747	\$ 7,087,747	1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>\$ 1,254,658,760</b>	<b>\$ 775,793,006</b>	<b>100%</b>



---

# Next Steps

- May 1, 2025: Call for Regional Transportation Projects issued
- August 1, 2025: Application deadline
- April 2 –May 17, 2026: Public comment period
- May 14, 2026: Public Hearing
- May 14, 2026: Finance Committee approved PayGo - \$775.8 million
- June 11, 2026: NVTA briefed on public comments; NVTA approved PayGo
- **June 2026: NVTA staff releases project recommendations for review and endorsement by**
  - PCAC (June 17)
  - TAC (June 17)
  - PPC (June 18)
- July 9, 2026: NVTA adopts FY2026-2031 SYP
  
- **May 2027: Anticipated Call for Regional Transportation Projects for FY2028-2033 SYP**

